

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 394 137

CS 012 441

AUTHOR Wigfield, Allan; And Others
TITLE A Questionnaire Measure of Children's Motivations for Reading. Instructional Resource No. 22.
INSTITUTION National Reading Research Center, Athens, GA.; National Reading Research Center, College Park, MD.
SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED), Washington, DC.
PUB DATE 96
CONTRACT 117A20007
NOTE 24p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Evaluation Methods; Intermediate Grades; Junior High Schools; Middle Schools; *Questionnaires; *Reading Attitudes; *Reading Motivation; Sex Differences; *Student Attitudes
IDENTIFIERS *Motivations for Reading Questionnaire

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the Motivations for Reading Questionnaire (MRQ), including information on how the MRQ can be used and how to administer and score it. The MRQ assesses 11 possible dimensions of reading motivations. It can be used with children in late elementary school and middle school. Scores on the MRQ have been shown to relate to children's reported reading frequency, and their performance on different standardized tests. It can be used in various ways in schools: for instance, to generate profiles of children's motivations change over the course of a school year, or to see how boys' and girls' reading motivations differ. Contains 13 references and 2 tables of data. The Motivations for Reading Questionnaire is attached. (Author/RS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

A QUESTIONNAIRE MEASURE OF CHILDREN'S MOTIVATIONS FOR READING

ALLAN WIGFIELD

JOHN T. GUTHRIE

KAREN MCGOUGH



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

NRRC

National Reading Research Center

Instructional Resource No. 22
Spring 1996

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



ERIC Document Reproduction Service

1800 443 3742

NRRC

National Reading Research Center

A Questionnaire Measure of Children's Motivations for Reading

Allan Wigfield
John T. Guthrie
Karen McGough

University of Maryland College Park

INSTRUCTIONAL RESOURCE NO. 22

Spring 1996

The work reported herein is a National Reading Research Project of the University of Georgia and University of Maryland. It was supported under the Educational Research and Development Centers Program (PR/AWARD NO. 117A20007) as administered by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education. The findings and opinions expressed here do not necessarily reflect the position or policies of the National Reading Research Center, the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, or the U.S. Department of Education.

Executive Committee

Donna E. Alvermann, Co-Director
University of Georgia

John T. Guthrie, Co-Director
University of Maryland College Park

James F. Baumann, Associate Director
University of Georgia

Patricia S. Koskinen, Associate Director
University of Maryland College Park

Jamie Lynn Metsala, Interim Associate Director
University of Maryland College Park

Nancy B. Mizelle, Assistant Director
University of Georgia

Penny Oldfather
University of Georgia

John F. O'Flahavan
University of Maryland College Park

James V. Hoffman
University of Texas at Austin

Cynthia R. Hynd
University of Georgia

Robert Serpell
University of Maryland Baltimore County

Betty Shockley
Clarke County School District, Athens, Georgia

Linda DeGroff
University of Georgia

Publications Editors**Research Reports and Perspectives**

Linda DeGroff, Editor
University of Georgia

James V. Hoffman, Associate Editor
University of Texas at Austin

Mariam Jean Dreher, Associate Editor
University of Maryland College Park

Instructional Resources

Lee Galda, *University of Georgia*

Research Highlights

William G. Holliday
University of Maryland College Park

Policy Briefs

James V. Hoffman
University of Texas at Austin

Videos

Shawn M. Glynn, *University of Georgia*

NRRC Staff

Barbara F. Howard, Office Manager

Kathy B. Davis, Senior Secretary
University of Georgia

Barbara A. Neitzey, Administrative Assistant

Valerie Tyra, Accountant
University of Maryland College Park

National Advisory Board

Phyllis W. Aldrich

Saratoga Warren Board of Cooperative Educational Services, Saratoga Springs, New York

Arthur N. Applebee

State University of New York, Albany

Ronald S. Brandt

Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development

Marshá T. DeLain

Delaware Department of Public Instruction

Carl A. Grant

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Walter Kintsch

University of Colorado at Boulder

Robert L. Linn

University of Colorado at Boulder

Luis C. Moll

University of Arizona

Carol M. Santa

School District No. 5

Kalispell, Montana

Anne P. Sweet

Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education

Louise Cherry Wilkinson

Rutgers University

Production Editor

Katherine P. Hutchison
University of Georgia

Dissemination Coordinator

Jordana E. Rich
University of Georgia

Text Formatter

Ann Marie Vanstone
University of Georgia

NRRC - University of Georgia

318 Aderhold

University of Georgia

Athens, Georgia 30602-7125

(706) 542-3674 Fax: (706) 542-3678

INTERNET: NRRC@uga.cc.uga.edu

NRRC - University of Maryland College Park

3216 J. M. Patterson Building

University of Maryland

College Park, Maryland 20742

(301) 405-8035 Fax: (301) 314-9625

INTERNET: NRRC@umail.umd.edu

About the National Reading Research Center

The National Reading Research Center (NRRC) is funded by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement of the U.S. Department of Education to conduct research on reading and reading instruction. The NRRC is operated by a consortium of the University of Georgia and the University of Maryland College Park in collaboration with researchers at several institutions nationwide.

The NRRC's mission is to discover and document those conditions in homes, schools, and communities that encourage children to become skilled, enthusiastic, lifelong readers. NRRC researchers are committed to advancing the development of instructional programs sensitive to the cognitive, sociocultural, and motivational factors that affect children's success in reading. NRRC researchers from a variety of disciplines conduct studies with teachers and students from widely diverse cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds in pre-kindergarten through grade 12 classrooms. Research projects deal with the influence of family and family-school interactions on the development of literacy; the interaction of sociocultural factors and motivation to read; the impact of literature-based reading programs on reading achievement; the effects of reading strategies instruction on comprehension and critical thinking in literature, science, and history; the influence of innovative group participation structures on motivation and learning; the potential of computer technology to enhance literacy; and the development of methods and standards for alternative literacy assessments.

The NRRC is further committed to the participation of teachers as full partners in its research. A better understanding of how teachers view the development of literacy, how they use knowledge from research, and how they approach change in the classroom is crucial to improving instruction. To further this understanding, the NRRC conducts school-based research in which teachers explore their own philosophical and pedagogical orientations and trace their professional growth.

Dissemination is an important feature of NRRC activities. Information on NRRC research appears in several formats. *Research Reports* communicate the results of original research or synthesize the findings of several lines of inquiry. They are written primarily for researchers studying various areas of reading and reading instruction. The *Perspective Series* presents a wide range of publications, from calls for research and commentary on research and practice to first-person accounts of experiences in schools. *Instructional Resources* include curriculum materials, instructional guides, and materials for professional growth, designed primarily for teachers.

For more information about the NRRC's research projects and other activities, or to have your name added to the mailing list, please contact:

Donna E. Alvermann, Co-Director
National Reading Research Center
318 Aderhold Hall
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602-7125
(706) 542-3674

John T. Guthrie, Co-Director
National Reading Research Center
3216 J. M. Patterson Building
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-8035

NRRC Editorial Review Board

Peter Afflerbach
University of Maryland College Park

Jane Agee
University of Georgia

JoBeth Allen
University of Georgia

Janice F. Almasi
University of Buffalo-SUNY

Patty Anders
University of Arizona

Harriette Arrington
University of Kentucky

Marlia Banning
University of Utah

Jill Bartoli
Elizabethtown College

Eurydice Bauer
University of Georgia

Janet Benton
Bowling Green, Kentucky

Irene Blum
*Pine Springs Elementary School
Falls Church, Virginia*

David Bloome
Amherst College

John Borkowski
Notre Dame University

Fenice Boyd
University of Georgia

Karen Bromley
Binghamton University

Martha Carr
University of Georgia

Suzanne Clewell
*Montgomery County Public Schools
Rockville, Maryland*

Joan Coley
Western Maryland College

Michelle Commeyras
University of Georgia

Linda Cooper
*Shaker Heights City Schools
Shaker Heights, Ohio*

Karen Costello
*Connecticut Department of Education
Hartford, Connecticut*

Jim Cunningham
Gibsonville, North Carolina

Karin Dahl
Ohio State University

Marcia Delany
*Wilkes County Public Schools
Washington, Georgia*

Lynne Diaz-Rico
*California State University-San
Bernardino*

Ann Duffy
University of Georgia

Ann Egan-Robertson
Amherst College

Jim Flood
San Diego State University

Dana Fox
University of Arizona

Linda Gambrell
University of Maryland College Park

Mary Graham
McLean, Virginia

Rachel Grant
University of Maryland College Park

Barbara Guzzetti
Arizona State University

Frances Hancock
*Concordia College of Saint Paul,
Minnesota*

Kathleen Heubach
University of Georgia

Sally Hudson-Ross
University of Georgia

Cynthia Hynd
University of Georgia

Gay Ivey
University of Georgia

David Jardine
University of Calgary

Robert Jimenez
University of Oregon

Michelle Kelly
University of Utah

James King
University of South Florida

Kate Kirby
*Gwinnett County Public Schools
Lawrenceville, Georgia*

Linda Labbo
University of Georgia

Michael Law
University of Georgia

Donald T. Leu
Syracuse University

Susan Lytle
University of Pennsylvania

1800 443 3742

Bert Mangino
Las Vegas, Nevada

Susan Mazzoni
Baltimore, Maryland

Ann Dacey McCann
University of Maryland College Park

Sarah McCarthey
University of Texas at Austin

Veda McClain
University of Georgia

Lisa McFalls
University of Georgia

Randy McGinnis
University of Maryland

Mike McKenna
Georgia Southern University

Barbara Michalove
*Fowler Drive Elementary School
Athens, Georgia*

Elizabeth B. Moje
University of Utah

Lesley Morrow
Rutgers University

Bruce Murray
University of Georgia

Susan Neuman
Temple University

John O'Flahavan
University of Maryland College Park

Marilyn Ohlhausen-McKinney
University of Nevada

Penny Oldfather
University of Georgia

Barbara M. Paimer
Mount Saint Mary's College

Stephen Phelps
Buffalo State College

Mike Pickle
Georgia Southern University

Amber T. Prince
Berry College

Gaoyin Qian
Lehman College-CUNY

Tom Reeves
University of Georgia

Lenore Ringler
New York University

Mary Roe
University of Delaware

Nadeen T. Ruiz
*California State University-
Sacramento*

Olivia Saracho
University of Maryland College Park

Paula Schwanenflugel
University of Georgia

Robert Serpell
*University of Maryland Baltimore
County*

Betty Shockley
*Fowler Drive Elementary School
Athens, Georgia*

Wayne H. Slater
University of Maryland College Park

Margaret Smith
Las Vegas, Nevada

Susan Sonnenschein
*University of Maryland Baltimore
County*

Bernard Spodek
University of Illinois

Bettie St. Pierre
University of Georgia

Steve Stahl
University of Georgia

Roger Stewart
University of Wyoming

Anne P. Sweet
*Office of Educational Research
and Improvement*

Louise Tomlinson
University of Georgia

Bruce VanSledright
University of Maryland College Park

Barbara Walker
Eastern Montana University-Billings

Louise Waynant
*Prince George's County Schools
Upper Marlboro, Maryland*

Dera Weaver
*Athens Academy
Athens, Georgia*

Jane West
Agnes Scott College

Renee Weisburg
Elkins Park, Pennsylvania

Allen Wigfield
University of Maryland College Park

Shelley Wong
University of Maryland College Park

Josephine Peyton Young
University of Georgia

Kallic Yupp
California State University



ERIC Document Reproduction Service

1800 443 3742

About the Authors

Allan Wigfield is an associate professor in the Department of Human Development at the University of Maryland College Park. His research interests include the development and socialization of children's motivation and achievement-related beliefs and attitudes. He received his Ph.D. in educational psychology from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. He can be contacted at the Department of Human Development, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742.

John T. Guthrie is a professor of Human Development at the University of Maryland College Park, and Co-Director of the National Reading Research Center (NRRRC). The Center conducts studies of reading, writing, science and history learning, assessment and professional development. Prior to this position Dr. Guthrie headed the University of Maryland's Center for Educational

Research and Development. Dr. Guthrie was formerly the Director of Research for the International Reading Association 1974-1984. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Illinois in Educational Psychology. In 1992, the National Reading Conference awarded him the Oscar Causey award for outstanding contributions to reading research. He is a Fellow in the American Psychological Association, American Psychological Society, the National Council of Research in English, and was elected to the Reading Hall of Fame in 1994. Dr. Guthrie's interests are literacy development and environments for learning.

Karen McGough was a master's student in the Department of Human Development, University of Maryland. While she was completing her master's degree, she was a Research Assistant at the National Reading Research Center.

1800 443 6742

A Questionnaire Measure of Children's Motivations For Reading

Allan Wigfield
John T. Guthrie
Karen McGough
*University of Maryland
College Park*

National Reading Research Center
Universities of Georgia and Maryland
Instructional Resource No. 22
Spring 1996

Abstract. *In this Instructional Resource we describe the Motivations for Reading Questionnaire (MRQ). We provide information about how the MRQ can be used, and how to administer and score it. The MRQ assesses 11 possible dimensions of reading motivations. It can be used with children in late elementary school and middle school. Scores on the MRQ have been shown to relate to children's reported reading frequency, and their performance on different standardized tests. It can be used in various ways in schools; for instance, to generate profiles of children's motivations change over the course of a school year, or to see how boys' and girls' reading motivations differ.*

The Motivations for Reading Questionnaire (MRQ) is designed to assess different aspects of children's motivations for reading. It is well-known that children's motivation can

affect their performance in different achievement areas, including reading (for further discussion, see Oldfather & Wigfield, 1996, Wigfield, 1994, and Wigfield & Guthrie, 1995). However, few measures of children's motivations *for reading* exist, which has made it difficult to assess the ways in which children are motivated (or not motivated) to read, and to assess the relation of reading motivations to achievement in reading. The engagement perspective that provides the theoretical framework for much of the ongoing work at the National Reading Research Center emphasizes the importance of motivation to engaged reading. A survey of IRA members done in 1992 indicated that students' motivation (or lack of motivation) to read was one of teachers' greatest concerns. Therefore, it is important to obtain a better understanding of children's reading motivations, and to devise tools to measure reading motivations.

Development of the MRQ

To develop the MRQ, we integrated research from both the general motivation literature and work on literacy motivations. Motivational researchers have proposed and investigated what they consider to be the most important motivational constructs that mediate achievement behavior (for further discussion of these constructs, see Oldfather & Wigfield, 1996, and Wigfield & Guthrie, 1995). Yet most of the work of these researchers has been on motivation in general rather than motivation for specific areas such as reading. Literacy researchers have looked at what engages children and adults in reading, but often only consider some of the constructs defined by

1800 243 3722

motivation theorists in their discussions of reading engagement. Therefore, measures developed by these researchers have assessed more general attitudes toward reading (e.g., Alexander & Filler, 1976; McKenna & Kear, 1990) rather than specific dimensions of reading motivations stemming from motivation theory.

To integrate both these areas into the questionnaire, we first developed a set of possible dimensions or constructs that could comprise reading motivations, and wrote items to measure those dimensions. We then interviewed a small group of children to see how they described their own motivations for reading, and modified some of the items following these interviews (for more detailed discussion, see Wigfield & Guthrie, 1995).

The first two dimensions assess children's sense of competence and efficacy in reading, constructs that are prominent in many motivation theories. These theorists (e.g., Bandura, 1977; Wigfield, 1994) propose that individuals are more likely to do tasks or activities when they think they can master the activity. Therefore, to succeed in reading children need to believe they can read. We call these dimensions *Reading Efficacy*, the belief that one can be successful at reading, and *Reading Challenge*, the satisfaction of mastering or assimilating complex ideas in text.

The next set of dimensions are based on theoretical work on intrinsic versus extrinsic motivation. Motivation theorists in this area propose that intrinsic motivation, or the interest and enjoyment one gets from an activity, is a powerful motivational force (e.g., Deci & Ryan, 1985; Harter, 1981). We developed

three dimensions on the MRQ that assess aspects of intrinsic motivation: *Reading Curiosity*, the desire to learn about a particular topic of interest to the child; *Reading Topics Aesthetically Enjoyed*, or the enjoyment of experiencing different kinds of literary or informational texts; and *Importance of Reading*, which is a dimension taken from Eccles' and Wigfield's (e.g., Eccles et al., 1983; Wigfield & Eccles, 1992) work on the nature of individual's valuing of different tasks or activities. The notion of aesthetic enjoyment gained from reading refers to the pleasure gained from reading a well-written book or article on a topic one finds interesting. Although similar in certain ways to intrinsic motivation to read, this kind of aesthetic enjoyment is something we thought to be unique to the reading area, and so is different from traditional definitions of intrinsic motivation.

Extrinsic motivation means being motivated to obtain something (like a reward or good grade) for doing an activity; thus the motivation is not for the activity itself, but for what the activity will bring the individual. In the MRQ, we distinguished different kinds of extrinsic motivation for reading. *Recognition for Reading* is the gratification in receiving a tangible form of recognition for success in reading; and *Reading for Grades* assesses the desire to be favorably evaluated by the teacher. These different aspects of extrinsic motivation reflect the fact that children do much of their reading in school, where their reading performance is evaluated. Thus, recognition and grades may figure prominently in their motivations for reading.

The final dimensions include social aspects of reading, because reading often is a social activity. One proposed dimension is *Social Reasons for Reading*, or the process of sharing the meanings gained from reading with friends and family. A second is *Competition in Reading*, the desire to outperform others in reading. Third is *Compliance*, or reading because of an external goal or requirement. These dimensions are based on the work on achievement goals in the motivation literature (e.g., Ames, 1992; Wentzel, 1989). With the exception of Wentzel's (1989) work in the general motivation literature, social goals for achievement have not often been discussed. Such goals seem essential for reading motivation. Finally, a set of items asked students what they do not like about reading; we called this set *Reading Work Avoidance*.

An initial version of the MRQ containing 82 items was developed, with 7 or 8 items measuring each of the proposed dimensions (with the exception of reading importance, which was measured by an existing 2-item scale developed by Eccles et al., 1983). This scale was given to fourth and fifth graders in an elementary school in the fall and the spring of a school year. Various analyses of children's responses to the questionnaire were done to evaluate how well the items and scales worked. Based on these analyses (see Wigfield & Guthrie, 1995), 28 of the original 82 items were eliminated. The current version of the MRQ contains 54 items, and all the dimensions just described are measured by the remaining items. The items assessing each dimension are listed in Table 1. The full questionnaire and instruc-

tions for its administration and scoring appear with the questionnaire appear in the Appendix.

Reliability of the MRQ Scales

The internal consistency reliabilities of these scales were computed, at both the fall and spring times of measurement. These reliabilities give an indication of the extent to which the items on each scale cohere; values greater than .70 are preferable. The reliabilities are presented in Table 2. As can be seen in the table, some of the scales showed reasonable internal consistency, and others did not. The most reliable scales included Reading Challenge, Reading Curiosity, Aesthetic Enjoyment of Reading, Social Reasons for Reading, Reading Competition, and Reading Recognition. The reliabilities of these scales ranged from adequate to good. The reliabilities for the other scales were poorer (although some approached adequacy), suggesting that the items proposed to form these scales in fact did not cohere as well.

Uses of the MRQ

The MRQ can be used by teachers and reading specialists of children in grades three to six to gauge the ways in which children are motivated to read. It could be administered at the beginning and the end of a school year, to see what kinds of changes in reading motivations might have occurred during the year. It can be used to generate individual profiles of students' motivation, and also could be used to compare the motivations of different groups of children (e.g., boys vs. girls).

Table 1. Scales on The Motivations for Reading Questionnaire**READING EFFICACY**

I know that I will do well in reading next year
I am a good reader
I learn more from reading than most students in the class
In comparison to my other school subjects, I am best at reading

READING CHALLENGE

I like hard, challenging books
If the project is interesting, I can read difficult material
I like it when the questions in books make me think
I usually learn difficult things by reading
If a book is interesting, I don't care how hard it is to read

READING CURIOSITY

If the teacher discusses something interesting, I might read more about it
If I am reading about an interesting topic, I sometimes lose track of time
I read to learn new information about topics that interest me
I read about my hobbies to learn more about them
I like to read about new things
I enjoy reading books about people in different countries

AESTHETIC ENJOYMENT OF READING

I read stories about fantasy and make believe
I like mysteries
I make pictures in my mind when I read
I feel like I make friends with people in good books
I read a lot of adventure stories
I enjoy a long, involved story or fiction book

IMPORTANCE OF READING

It is very important to me to be a good reader
In comparison to other activities I do, it is very important to me to be a good reader

COMPLIANCE

I do as little schoolwork as possible in reading*
I read because I have to*
I always do my reading work exactly as the teacher wants it

Finishing every reading assignment is very important to me
I always try to finish my reading on time

READING RECOGNITION

I like having the teacher say I read well
My friends sometimes tell me I am a good reader
I like to get compliments for my reading
I am happy when someone recognizes my reading
My parents often tell me what a good job I am doing in reading

READING FOR GRADES

Grades are a good way to see how well you are doing in reading
I look forward to finding out my reading grade
I read to improve my grades
My parents ask me about my reading grade

SOCIAL REASONS FOR READING

I visit the library often with my family
I often read to my brother or my sister
My friends and I like to trade things to read
I sometimes read to my parents
I talk to my friends about what I am reading
I like to help my friends with their schoolwork in reading
I like to tell my family about what I am reading

READING COMPETITION

I try to get more answers right than my friends
I like being the best at reading
I like to finish my reading before other students
I like being the only one who knows an answer in something we read
It is important for me to see my name on a list of good readers
I am willing to work hard to read better than my friends

READING WORK AVOIDANCE

I don't like vocabulary questions
Complicated stories are no fun to read
I don't like reading something when the words are too difficult
I don't like it when there are too many people in the story

* Reverse the direction of these two items when scoring them.

Table 2. Reliabilities for the Reading Motivation Scales

SCALE	FALL	SPRING
Reading Efficacy	63	68
Reading Challenge	68	80
Reading Curiosity	70	76
Aesthetic Enjoyment of Reading	72	76
Importance of Reading	59	52
Reading Recognition	69	69
Reading for Grades	59	43
Social Reasons for Reading	78	72
Reading Competition	75	81
Compliance	62	55
Reading Work Avoidance	44	60

The MRQ can be administered to a class in approximately 20 to 25 min. When it is given to third- and fourth-grade children, it is recommended that the items be read aloud. Fifth- and sixth-grade children can read the items on their own, although they might need help with some of the words. The questionnaire can be used with the Reading Activity Inventory (Guthrie, McGough, & Wigfield, 1994) to see how children's motivations for reading relate to the kinds of reading that they do. It also is informative to relate children's motivations for reading to their reading performance and frequency (see Wigfield & Guthrie, 1995, for results of an analysis of the relations of reading motivations to reading frequency). Several of the motivation scales relate moderately strongly to the amount of reading children did.

The current version of the MRQ can provide teachers with valuable information about children's reading motivations. We are continu-

ing to revise the questionnaire; the revised version will appear in a subsequent instructional resource.

References

- Alexander, J. E., & Filler, R. C. (1976). *Attitudes and reading*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Ames, C. (1992). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84, 261-271.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84, 191-215.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- Eccles, J. S., Adler, T. F., Futterman, R., Goff, S. B., Kaczala, C. M., Meece, J., & Midgley, C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and

- academic behaviors. In J. T. Spence (Ed.), *Achievement and achievement motives* (pp. 75-146). San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.
- Guthrie, J., McGough, K., & Wigfield, A. (1994). *Measuring Reading Activity: An Inventory* (Instructional Resource No. 4). Athens, GA: NRRC, Universities of Georgia and Maryland College Park.
- Harter, S. (1981). A new self-report scale of intrinsic versus extrinsic orientation in the classroom: Motivational and informational components. *Developmental Psychology*, 17, 300-312.
- McKenna, M. C., & Kear, D. J. (1990). Measuring attitude toward reading: A new tool for teachers. *The Reading Teacher*, 43, 626-639.
- Oldfather, P., & Wigfield, A., (1996). Children's motivations for literacy learning. In L. Baker, P. Afflerbach, & D. Reinking (Eds.), *Developing engaged readers in school and home communities* (pp. 89-113). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Wentzel, K. R. (1989). Adolescent classroom goals, standards for performance, and academic achievement: An interactionist perspective. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 131-142.
- Wigfield, A. (1994). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation: A developmental perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, 6, 49-78.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (1992). The development of achievement task values: A theoretical analysis. *Developmental Review*, 12, 265-310.
- Wigfield, A., & Guthrie, J. T. (1995). *Dimensions of children's motivations for reading: An initial study* (Reading Research Report No. 34). Athens, GA: NRRC, Universities of Georgia and Maryland College Park.

APPENDIX

MOTIVATIONS FOR READING QUESTIONNAIRE

Administration and Scoring

The Motivations for Reading Questionnaire (MRQ) measures different dimensions or aspects of elementary school-aged children's reading motivations. It can be used to discover the nature of children's motivations for reading, as well as some of the things about reading children do not find motivating. It consists of 54 items, and can be administered in 20 to 25 minutes. It can be used in conjunction with the Reading Activity Inventory developed by Guthrie et al. (1994).

The MRQ appears following these instructions. It may be photocopied for use in the classroom.

Administration

Before you distribute the MRQ forms, tell students you are interested in finding out what they think and feel about reading as an activity. Assure the students that there are no right or wrong answers to the questions, and that children sitting near them might answer an item differently. Encourage the students to answer the questions honestly. The measure is designed to be given to a classroom-size group; it also can be given in smaller groups. Individual administration generally is not necessary.

For third- and fourth-grade children, it is recommended that the MRQ be read aloud (if all students in a fourth-grade class read well, then they could complete the questionnaire on their own). Fifth- and sixth-grade children can read the questions on their own, but the administrator should be prepared to answer any questions they have about some of the words contained in the different items.

A cover page can be added to the questionnaire to get demographic and other information, if that is desired. The first page of the questionnaire contains three sample questions that are there to familiarize children with the 1 to 4 answer scales used. The class should complete these sample items before answering the items on the questionnaire itself. The administrator should emphasize to children to use the full range of the 1 to 4 scale.

Scoring

Each item is scored on a 1 to 4 scale; higher scores mean stronger endorsement of the item. A total score can be derived by summing the scores of all the items (with the exception of the Work Avoidance items; these should NOT be included in a summary score). However, we strongly recommend deriving separate scores for each of the proposed dimensions of reading motivations. The scale scores provide much more information than a total score does. Specifically, they provide information about the pattern of children's responses and how they rate different aspects of their motivation for reading. These profiles could be quite useful for teachers and reading specialists interested in understanding what things children like about reading and what things they don't like about it.

SPECIFIC STEPS FOR SCORING THE INSTRUMENT BY HAND

1. Check each questionnaire to be sure that each student completed each item. If some items are left blank, they of course should not be included in the scoring of the instrument. Each item that is completed should be scored from 1 to 4.
2. If a student circled more than one answer for an item and the answers are adjacent (e.g., circled both 1 or 2; or 3 or 4), take the number closer to the middle. However, if both 1 and 4 were circled, that item should not be counted.
3. If a student added numbers to the scale (e.g., the student wrote in numbers less than 1, or greater than 4), convert them to the scale. Numbers less than 1 can be scored as 1; numbers greater than 4 can be scored as 4.
3. To create scale scores, use Table 1 to identify the items in each scale. Add the students' responses to the items in each scale (e.g., in the case of the Efficacy items, add the scores from the four items shown in Table 1), and divide by the number of items completed (e.g., in the case of the efficacy scale, divide by 4, if all the items were completed by the student). Dividing by the number of items on each scale means that all the scale scores also will have a range of 1 to 4, which makes them easier to compare.
4. For the Compliance scale, the first two items should be reversed before computing the scale for Compliance. That is, a score of 1 should be converted to 4, a score of 2 converted to 3, a score of 3 converted to 2, and a score of 4 converted to 1.

1800 442 3742 **SPECIFIC STEPS FOR SCORING THE INSTRUMENT BY COMPUTER**

The scales also can be created using different statistical packages, such as SPSS.

1. Follow steps 1 through 3 above.
2. To create scale scores, use Table 1 to identify the items in each scale. Use your computer program to add the appropriate items for each scale, being sure to reverse the first two items on the Compliance scale.
3. The scale scores can be saved on the computer for later use and analysis.

Interpretation

The scores can be used in several ways. First, individual profiles of the students completing the questionnaire can be examined. This profile can help give an indication of the kinds of things a child is motivated by. For instance, does s/he read primarily for curiosity, or to get good grades? Does s/he like to be challenged by reading assignments? These profiles could be used to tailor the curriculum to meet better individual student needs, or perhaps to provide special activities for different students. For instance, children who strongly endorse the "challenge" items could be given some especially involved assignments. Children strongly endorsing the "recognition" items could be given the opportunity to receive some recognition for their work. Children strongly endorsing "social reasons for reading" could be allowed to read more with their peers. Second, the scores also could be grouped, and group differences could be examined, to answer questions like are the boys more positively motivated than the girls? Or do boys and girls have different things that appear to motivate them most in their reading? Third, the measure could be given twice or three times over a school year, and patterns of change in different children's motivations could be assessed.

The Motivations For Reading Questionnaire**DIRECTIONS:**

We are interested in your reading.

The statements tell how some students feel about reading.

Read each statement and decide whether it talks about a person who is like you or different from you.

There are no right or wrong answers. We only want to know how you feel about reading.

Here are three examples.

If the statement is **very different from you**, circle a 1.

If the statement is **a little different from you**, circle a 2.

If the statement is **a little like you**, circle a 3.

If the statement is **a lot like you**, circle a 4.

	Very Different From Me	A Little Different From Me	A Little Like Me	A Lot Like Me
1. I like ice cream.	1	2	3	4
2. I like to swim.	1	2	3	4
3. I like spinach.	1	2	3	4

CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION USING THESE ANSWERS:

1. Very different from me
2. A little different from me
3. A little like me
4. A lot like me

-
- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. I visit the library often with my family. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. I like hard, challenging books. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3. I know that I will do well in reading next year. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. I do as little schoolwork as possible in reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. If the teacher discusses something interesting I might read more about it. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6. I read because I have to. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7. I like it when the questions in books make me think. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 8. I read about my hobbies to learn more about them. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 9. I am a good reader. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 10. I read stories about fantasy and make believe. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 11. I often read to my brother or my sister. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 12. I like being the only one who knows an answer in something we read. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 13. I read to learn new information about topics that interest me. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 14. My friends sometimes tell me I'm a good reader. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 15. I learn more from reading than most students in my class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 16. I like to read about new things. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 17. I like hearing the teacher say I read well. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 18. I like being the best at reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION USING THESE ANSWERS:

1. Very different from me
2. A little different from me
3. A little like me
4. A lot like me

-
- | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| 19. I look forward to finding out my reading grade. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 20. I sometimes read to my parents. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 21. My friends and I like to trade things to read. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 22. It is important for me to see my name on a list of good readers. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 23. I don't like reading something when the words are too difficult. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 24. I make pictures in my mind when I read. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 25. I always do my reading work exactly as the teacher wants it. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 26. I usually learn difficult things by reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 27. I don't like vocabulary questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 28. Complicated stories are no fun to read. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 29. I am happy when someone recognizes my reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 30. I feel like I make friends with people in good books. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 31. My parents often tell me what a good job I'm doing in reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 32. Finishing every reading assignment is very important to me. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 33. I like mysteries. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 34. I talk to my friends about what I am reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION, USING THESE ANSWERS:

1. Very different from me
2. A little different from me
3. A little like me
4. A lot like me

-
- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 35. If I am reading about an interesting topic, I sometimes lose track of time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 36. I like to get compliments for my reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 37. Grades are a good way to see how I'm doing in reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 38. I like to help my friends with their schoolwork in reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 39. I read to improve my grades. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 40. My parents ask me about my reading grade. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 41. I enjoy a long, involved story or fiction book. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 42. I like to tell my family about what I am reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 43. I try to get more answers right than my friends. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 44. If the project is interesting, I can read difficult material. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 45. I enjoy reading books about people living in different countries. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 46. I read a lot of adventure stories. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 47. I always try to finish my reading on time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 48. If a book is interesting, I don't care how hard it is to read. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 49. I like to finish my reading before other students. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 50. In comparison to my other school subjects, I am best at reading. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

**CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH
QUESTION, USING THESE ANSWERS:**

1. Very different from me
2. A little different from me
3. A little like me
4. A lot like me

1800 443 3742
51. I am willing to work hard to read better than
my friends.

1 2 3 4

52. I don't like it when there are too many people
in the story.

1 2 3 4

53. It is very important to me to be a good reader.

1 2 3 4

54. In comparison to other activities I do, it is
very important for me to be good at reading.

1 2 3 4

EDRS

ERIC Document Reproduction Service

1 800 443 3742

NRRC

National
Reading Research
Center

*318 Aderhold, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-7125
3216 J. M. Patterson Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742*